

Human Rights and the Age of Inequality

by Samuel Moyn

Exercise, Notes, Summary, Questions and Answers

(Exam focused Questions)

Class 12 English

(By NEBGPAcalculator.com. Visit for more notes.)

About the Essay

Human Rights and the Age of Inequality is an essay written by Samuel Moyn, an American historian. The essay discusses the development of human rights and examines their relationship with the growing problem of economic inequality in the modern world.

Moyn's main concern is that although human rights have become highly important in modern society, they have not been able to deal effectively with the enormous gap between the rich and the poor. Human rights provide people with basic protection, dignity, freedom, equality, fairness and respect, but they do not necessarily prevent some people from becoming extremely wealthy while others remain poor.

The essay therefore looks at human rights together with the history of political economy. Moyn discusses how societies approached social and economic welfare after the Second World War and how that situation changed later.

Human Rights and the Post World War II Period

Moyn explains the history of human rights through two major historical stages.

The first stage was the heroic age of national welfare after the Second World War. During this period, governments accepted greater responsibility for the social and economic welfare of their citizens. There was a strong belief that people should not only have political freedom but should also receive protection against poverty, insecurity and severe economic hardship.

In this period, the idea of human welfare became closely connected with ideas such as equality, dignity, freedom, fairness and respect.

One important development of this period was the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, adopted in 1948. The declaration aimed to establish basic human entitlements and fundamental human values as a foundation for justice and peace throughout the world. Human Rights Day is observed every year on 10 December to commemorate its adoption.

Franklin Roosevelt's Second Bill of Rights

Moyn also discusses the call made by President Franklin Roosevelt for a second Bill of Rights.

Roosevelt's idea was connected with the belief that people needed more than traditional political rights. They also needed social and economic security. His vision included the idea of freedom from want.

However, Moyn points out several things that were overlooked in Roosevelt's call.

It represented America's relatively late entry into an already existing North Atlantic consensus concerning social and economic welfare.

Roosevelt's highest promise was connected with protection against special privileges for the few. This is important because the issue is not simply whether poor people receive basic protection. There is also the question of whether a small group of people should be allowed to possess enormous wealth and privilege.

Although the idea had a broad international ambition, it was organized mainly at the national level rather than through an international system.

These limitations become important later in the essay because economic inequality is not simply a national problem. It exists both within countries and across the world.

The Universal Declaration of Human Rights

The Universal Declaration of Human Rights, commonly known as the UDHR, became one of the major developments in the history of human rights.

Its purpose was to assure the most basic entitlements and important values of human welfare. It emphasized principles such as:

Equality

Dignity

Freedom

Fairness

Respect

Justice

Peace

The declaration was important because it established a common international understanding that human beings should possess certain basic rights simply because they are human.

However, Moyn's essay asks us to think beyond the existence of these rights. He wants us to consider whether the protection of basic rights is enough when enormous differences in wealth continue to exist.

The Rise of Inequality

The second major stage discussed by Moyn belongs to the modern period.

From around the 1970s, the welfare state began to retreat while economic inequality increased. At the same time, the human rights movement continued to develop.

This creates the major problem examined by the essay.

The human rights movement had become morally powerful, but the gap between rich and poor was also becoming increasingly severe.

In other words, the development of human rights did not automatically stop the growth of economic inequality.

Moyn therefore asks us to consider the limitations of the human rights system.

Human rights can condemn abuses and protect people from certain forms of injustice. However, they do not necessarily control the amount of wealth that the richest people can possess.

This is why Moyn argues that human rights establish a minimum level of protection, but they do not establish a limit on extreme wealth.

Human Rights as a Floor

One of the most important ideas in the essay is the difference between a floor and a ceiling.

Human rights can provide a floor.

A floor means a minimum level below which people should not be allowed to fall. Human rights can demand that people receive basic dignity, freedom, equality, fairness, respect and other fundamental protections.

However, human rights do not necessarily provide a ceiling.

A ceiling would place a limit on how far wealth and economic power can

be concentrated in the hands of a few people.

Therefore, a society can recognize human rights while still allowing a very large economic gap between rich and poor.

This is the central problem Moyn identifies.

Human rights may ask: What basic protection should every human being receive?

But they do not necessarily ask: How much economic inequality should society allow?

This is why Moyn considers the existing human rights framework insufficient for dealing with the modern crisis of inequality.

Human Rights Are Necessary but Not Enough

Moyn does not reject human rights. Instead, he argues that human rights remain necessary, but they are not enough.

Human rights are important because they protect basic human dignity and provide people with fundamental freedoms and entitlements. They can also condemn abuses and injustice.

But protecting basic rights does not automatically redistribute wealth.

A person may have formal rights and freedoms while still living in poverty. Similarly, two people may have equal legal rights while possessing completely unequal economic resources. Therefore, legal

equality does not automatically produce economic equality.

According to Moyn, solving the problem of extreme inequality requires additional economic and political action.

The Need for Redistribution

Towards the end of the essay, Moyn moves beyond the existing human rights framework and considers what would be required for genuine socio economic justice.

He refers to a truth expressed in Herodotus's Histories and argues that real socio economic justice, both locally and globally, would require redistribution from the rich to the poor.

This would require new forms of legal activism and possibly a new movement capable of directly confronting economic inequality.

The important point is that inequality cannot be solved merely by declaring that everyone has equal rights.

There must also be meaningful changes in the distribution of wealth and resources.

Thus, Moyn's final concern is not simply about protecting people from human rights abuses. It is about creating a society in which economic inequality itself is seriously addressed.

The Croesus Story

An important illustration included in the notes is the story of Croesus, the last king of Lydia. The story is used to help explain the problem of wealth, happiness, freedom and inequality.

Croesus was extremely rich and believed that his great wealth made him the happiest person on Earth. He possessed enormous resources and power. However, according to the supplied material, although he wanted his people to be happy and free from suffering, he did not want to spend his own wealth for their benefit.

His situation eventually changed dramatically. Cyrus the Great, the Persian king, defeated Croesus and his troops took control of his wealth.

Moyn uses this story to create a connection with the modern world. The story illustrates a situation in which enormous wealth can exist alongside the suffering or deprivation of other people. Having great personal wealth does not necessarily mean that society as a whole enjoys equal prosperity.

The Croesus example therefore helps us think about the difference between individual wealth and collective welfare. A person or a small group may possess enormous resources, while many others may still lack adequate economic opportunities and resources.

The story also raises a broader question about the meaning of equality. If people are declared equal in terms of rights and freedom, but their economic conditions are enormously different, can that formal equality by itself solve the problem of inequality?

According to the notes, Moyn presents the modern world as resembling

the situation of Croesus: the rich can enjoy considerable wealth, freedom and opportunities, while poor people may experience equality and freedom mainly in a limited or formal sense.

The Difference Between Equality and Economic Equality

The essay makes us distinguish between having equal rights and having equal economic conditions.

Human rights can tell us that every human being deserves dignity, freedom and respect. These principles are extremely important because they reject discrimination and the idea that some people are naturally entitled to fewer rights than others.

However, equal rights do not necessarily mean equal access to wealth and resources.

For example, two people may legally possess the same rights, but one may have enormous financial resources while the other may live in poverty. Both may have freedom in a formal legal sense, but their actual opportunities in life can be very different.

This is the problem that Moyn wants readers to recognize.

The existence of human rights does not automatically remove:

Poverty

Economic inequality

Unequal access to resources

Concentration of wealth

Differences in social opportunity

Therefore, the protection of human rights must be considered separately from the question of how wealth is distributed.

The Problem with the Existing Human Rights System

Moyn's criticism is directed toward the limitations of the existing human rights framework, rather than toward the basic idea of human rights itself.

The human rights movement is capable of identifying and condemning abuses. It can demand that governments and other powerful institutions respect people's basic rights.

But the problem of inequality is much broader.

Economic inequality concerns the distribution of wealth and resources throughout society.

If the richest people continue accumulating enormous amounts of wealth while poor people receive only enough to satisfy a minimum standard of living, the economic distance between the two groups can continue to grow.

Human rights do not automatically prevent this. This is why Moyn

describes human rights as establishing a floor rather than a ceiling. The floor protects people from falling below a minimum standard.

The ceiling would prevent wealth and economic power from becoming excessively concentrated.

The existing human rights framework, according to Moyn's argument, concentrates much more on the first problem than on the second.

Why Inequality Requires a Different Response

The problem of inequality cannot be solved simply by creating declarations of rights.

Declarations can establish principles and standards, but economic inequality also involves actual control over money, property and resources.

Therefore, reducing inequality requires practical measures that affect the distribution of economic resources.

This is where redistribution becomes important.

Redistribution means changing the way wealth and resources are distributed so that economic resources are not concentrated excessively among the richest members of society.

Moyn connects genuine socio economic justice with the possibility of transferring resources from the rich toward the poor.

The issue is therefore not only whether poor people possess rights. It is

also whether they have sufficient material resources to live with dignity and participate meaningfully in society.

Is Another Human Rights Movement Necessary?

The essay raises the possibility that another human rights movement may be necessary because the existing system has not been sufficient to deal with modern inequality.

The supplied notes explain that political and economic power can still be concentrated in the hands of powerful people. In such circumstances, laws and rights may exist formally while not being fully implemented in everyday life.

Several continuing problems are identified:

Nepotism and Favoritism

People with connections or powerful relationships may receive advantages, while deserving individuals can be denied opportunities.

Political and Social Hegemony

Powerful groups can maintain dominance over society and influence institutions, opportunities and decision making.

Violation of Laws

People in positions of political or economic power may violate laws or avoid the consequences that ordinary people would face.

Formal Rather Than Practical Rights

Rights may be written in legal documents, but having a right on paper does not always guarantee that people can actually exercise it.

Economic Division

Society may become divided according to economic status, with significant differences between rich and poor.

These conditions demonstrate why merely recognizing rights is not enough. Rights must also be implemented and supported by social and economic structures that allow people to enjoy them in practice.

Human Rights and the Situation in Nepal

The notes also discuss some challenges involved in maintaining human rights in Nepal.

Several social, economic and institutional problems can make the protection of human rights difficult.

One major challenge is poverty, particularly in rural areas. When people lack sufficient economic resources, their ability to access education, health services, employment and other opportunities can also be limited.

Another challenge is unequal educational opportunity. Men and women may face different obstacles to education, which can prevent equal participation in society.

The notes also identify violations of children's rights as a concern.

Similarly, abuse of authority can create situations in which people in powerful positions use their authority improperly.

Other challenges mentioned include:

Nepotism

Corruption

Lack of basic literacy

Giving personal advantage priority over public welfare

Difficulty tracking or monitoring policies

Failure to follow the letter or spirit of the law

These problems show that protecting human rights involves more than simply having laws and declarations. There must also be effective implementation, monitoring and public institutions capable of protecting people's rights.

Poverty and Human Rights

Poverty is particularly important when discussing human rights and inequality.

A person may formally possess rights, but extreme poverty can make it

difficult to exercise those rights meaningfully.

For example, a person who has very limited economic resources may face difficulties obtaining education or taking advantage of opportunities available to wealthier people.

This illustrates Moyn's larger argument: formal rights and actual living conditions are connected but not identical.

If society wants genuine equality and justice, it must pay attention not only to legal rights but also to the economic conditions in which people live.

Nepotism, Favoritism and Inequality

The notes also identify nepotism and favoritism as continuing social problems.

Nepotism means giving unfair advantages to relatives or people with personal connections. Favoritism involves giving preference to particular individuals or groups rather than treating everyone fairly.

Such practices can create inequality because opportunities may not be distributed according to ability, effort or legitimate entitlement.

They can also suppress new ideas and talented people who lack powerful connections.

Therefore, social inequality is not produced only by differences in wealth. It can also be strengthened by unfair access to opportunities and power.

Laws and Their Implementation

Another important point is the difference between having laws and actually enforcing them.

A society may have laws protecting human rights, equality and justice. However, if those laws are not properly implemented, people may continue to suffer discrimination, exploitation or abuse.

This is why the notes emphasize that rights should not remain merely documented.

They must be put into practice.

Effective implementation requires:

Proper supervision

Monitoring

Enforcement

Accountability

Public awareness

Institutions capable of protecting rights

The broader lesson is that legal recognition is only one part of achieving justice.

The Need for Socio Economic Justice

Moyn ultimately directs attention toward socio economic justice.

Socio economic justice means addressing both social and economic inequalities rather than concentrating only on formal legal rights.

The issue involves questions such as:

How should wealth be distributed?

How can extreme poverty be reduced?

How can people receive fair opportunities?

How can economic power be prevented from becoming excessively concentrated?

How can basic human rights become meaningful in people's actual lives?

According to the essay's argument, these questions cannot be fully answered through the existing human rights framework alone.

They require additional political, legal and economic action.

Final Direction of the Essay

Towards the end, Moyn looks beyond the existing human rights movement.

He suggests that new forms of legal activism and perhaps a new movement may be necessary to address the problem of inequality.

The goal would not be to abandon human rights. Rather, the aim would be to supplement human rights with stronger efforts to deal directly with economic inequality.

The ultimate concern is to create a society in which people do not merely possess formal rights but also have the material conditions necessary to enjoy those rights.

Thus, the essay moves from the question of human rights toward the larger question of human welfare and economic justice.

Important Idea to Remember

The easiest way to understand the entire argument is:

Human rights protect the minimum conditions of human dignity, but they do not automatically reduce the economic distance between the rich and the poor.

Therefore:

Human rights are necessary

Human rights alone are not sufficient

Economic justice requires additional action and redistribution

This is the main idea connecting the historical discussion, the Croesus story, the criticism of inequality, and the call for new forms of activism.

Understanding the Text

What is the first human rights declaration adopted by the United Nations?

The first human rights declaration adopted by the United Nations is related to the mobilization for economic and social rights. It represents the international effort to establish and protect basic human rights and social and economic entitlements.

When is Human Rights Day observed?

Human Rights Day is observed on 10 December every year.

The day commemorates the adoption of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights.

What is the goal of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights?

The goal of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights is to assure people of their most basic human entitlements and establish fundamental values of human welfare.

It emphasizes important principles such as equality, dignity, freedom, fairness and respect.

The declaration also aims to establish a foundation for justice and peace

in the world.

Its broader purpose is to recognize that every human being deserves basic rights and protection regardless of their social or economic position.

What are the two big stages that involve writing the history of human rights alongside that of political economy?

The history of human rights alongside political economy can be understood through two major stages.

The first stage is the heroic age of national welfare after the Second World War. During this period, governments increasingly accepted responsibility for providing social and economic protection to their citizens. The idea of welfare was closely connected with economic security, social protection and freedom from want.

The second stage is the modern period, particularly from the 1970s onward, when the welfare state began to retreat and economic inequality increased. At the same time, the human rights movement continued to develop.

Moyn is interested in this contradiction because the human rights movement became increasingly influential while economic inequality was also becoming more severe.

What are the facts that have been missed in Roosevelt's call for a second Bill of Rights?

According to Moyn's discussion, several important facts were overlooked in Roosevelt's call for a second Bill of Rights.

First, it represented America's relatively late entry into an already existing North Atlantic consensus concerning social and economic welfare.

Second, Roosevelt's highest promise was connected with protection against special privileges for the few. This draws attention to the problem of concentrated wealth and privilege rather than simply the minimum protection of poor people.

Third, although the idea had a broad ambition, it was organized primarily at the national level rather than internationally.

Therefore, Roosevelt's proposal had important limitations when considered in relation to the wider international problem of economic inequality.

Write the truth expressed in Herodotus's Histories.

The truth expressed in Herodotus's Histories, as discussed in the essay, is connected with the need for genuine socio economic justice.

Moyn suggests that achieving socio economic justice at both the local and global levels would require redistribution from the rich to the poor.

This would require new forms of legal activism and possibly a new movement capable of confronting economic inequality more directly.

Thus, the idea emphasizes that genuine equality requires more than simply recognizing people's rights. There must also be meaningful action to address the unequal distribution of wealth and resources.

Why is the Universal Declaration of Human Rights important to you?

The Universal Declaration of Human Rights is important because it recognizes the basic rights and dignity of all human beings.

It promotes important values such as equality, freedom, fairness, dignity and respect. It also provides an international foundation for justice and peace.

The declaration is especially important because it challenges injustice, discrimination, inequality and other forms of inhuman treatment. It reminds governments and societies that every human being deserves basic rights and protection.

It is therefore an important foundation for creating a more just and humane society.

Reference to the Context

Does the essay give ways on how to stigmatize inequality? Explain.

The essay *Human Rights and the Age of Inequality* focuses on the development of human rights and the relationship between human rights and growing economic inequality. It does not provide a simple list of specific methods for stigmatizing inequality.

Instead, Moyn examines the limitations of the existing human rights movement in dealing with inequality.

The essay shows that human rights can condemn abuses and protect basic human dignity, but the existing human rights framework does not necessarily prevent extreme differences in wealth. Human rights can establish a minimum level of protection for people, but they do not necessarily establish a maximum limit on the wealth of the richest people.

Therefore, inequality can continue even when human rights are formally recognized.

The essay suggests that as inequality grows, stronger efforts may be required to confront it. New forms of legal activism and perhaps a new movement could work toward greater social and economic justice.

The need for equality also requires addressing problems such as partiality, discrimination, unfair distribution of opportunities and economic privilege. Proper supervision, monitoring and effective laws can help ensure that rights are not merely written in documents but are actually implemented.

Thus, the essay's main contribution is not a fixed set of methods for stigmatizing inequality. Rather, it exposes the limitations of existing human rights mechanisms and points toward the need for stronger action against economic inequality.

Is another human rights movement necessary? Why?

Yes, another human rights movement or a broader movement working alongside human rights may be necessary to deal with problems that the existing framework has not been able to solve adequately.

One major reason is the continuing presence of economic inequality. Human rights provide basic protection, but they do not necessarily reduce the enormous economic gap between rich and poor.

Another problem is the concentration of political and economic power. People who possess greater power may sometimes violate laws or avoid effective accountability.

Nepotism and favoritism are also problems because they can provide unfair advantages to certain people while suppressing new ideas, talents and opportunities.

Similarly, political and social hegemony can allow powerful groups to maintain their dominance.

Another important problem is the difference between rights on paper and rights in practice. A society may have laws and constitutional provisions protecting human rights, but those rights are not meaningful if they are not properly implemented.

Finally, society may become divided according to economic conditions, creating a major distinction between rich and poor.

For these reasons, the notes argue that another human rights movement may be necessary. Such a movement would need to go beyond simply declaring rights and would need to work toward their practical

implementation as well as greater social and economic justice.

Reference Beyond the Text

What are the challenges in maintaining human rights in Nepal?

There are several challenges involved in maintaining and protecting human rights in Nepal.

1. Poverty

A large part of the population faces poverty, particularly in rural areas. Poverty can make it difficult for people to access education, opportunities and other basic necessities.

2. Unequal Educational Opportunities

Men and women can face different obstacles to education. Such disparities can prevent people from enjoying equal opportunities for personal and professional development.

3. Violation of Children's Rights

Children's rights can also be violated. Protecting children requires effective laws, institutions and social awareness.

4. Abuse of Authority

Abuse of authority is another challenge. When people in positions of power misuse their authority, ordinary citizens can suffer injustice and

rights violations.

5. Nepotism and Corruption

Nepotism and corruption can weaken fairness and equality. They can give unfair advantages to particular individuals while disadvantaging others.

6. Lack of Basic Literacy

Many people may lack basic literacy skills such as reading and writing. This can make it difficult for them to understand their rights, access information and participate effectively in society.

7. Personal Interest over Public Interest

The notes also identify situations in which personal advantage or benefit is given priority over the public good. Such behavior can weaken social justice and equality.

8. Difficulty Monitoring Policies

Tracking and monitoring policies can be difficult when there is no effective system for doing so. Without proper monitoring, even good policies may not be implemented effectively.

9. Failure to Follow Laws

Another challenge is the inability or unwillingness to follow the letter and spirit of the law. Laws protecting rights become ineffective if they are not respected and properly enforced.

Therefore, protecting human rights in Nepal requires not only legal provisions but also effective implementation, monitoring, accountability, education and social awareness.

Important Vocabulary

Egalitarian

A person or system that believes in the principle that all people are equal and deserve equal rights and opportunities.

Stigmatize

To describe or treat someone or something as being worthy of disgrace, shame or strong disapproval.

Provincial

Related to a province. It can also mean having a limited or narrow outlook.

Foreordained

Something that has been determined or decided beforehand.

Hegemony

The dominance, leadership or control of one country, group or social class over others.

Consensus

A general agreement reached by a group of people.

Redistribution

The act of distributing something again in a different way, especially wealth or resources.

Entitlement

A right to receive or have something, such as a benefit, privilege or basic right.

Mobilization

The process of organizing or preparing people or resources for action.

Decolonization

The process of ending colonial rule and gaining independence from colonial control.

Major Themes of the Essay

1. Human Rights

The most important theme of the essay is human rights. Human rights are presented as basic rights and protections that every human being should have. They are connected with dignity, freedom, equality, fairness

and respect.

Moyn recognizes the importance of the human rights movement, but he also examines its limitations. Human rights can protect people from certain abuses and guarantee basic entitlements, but they cannot by themselves solve every social and economic problem.

2. Economic Inequality

Economic inequality is the central problem that Moyn examines.

The essay focuses on the growing gap between rich and poor and questions why the development of the human rights movement has not prevented this inequality.

The problem is not simply that some people are poor. It is also that wealth and economic power can become concentrated in the hands of a small number of people.

Moyn therefore asks readers to think about the distance between the richest and poorest members of society.

3. Human Rights Are Necessary but Not Sufficient

A major idea of the essay is that human rights are necessary but not sufficient.

Human rights provide a basic level of protection. They can establish a minimum standard below which people should not fall.

However, they do not necessarily establish a maximum limit on wealth.

This is expressed through the important idea of a floor and a ceiling.

Floor: The minimum level of rights and protection that everyone should receive.

Ceiling: A limit on how far wealth and economic inequality can rise.

According to Moyn, human rights provide the floor but do not adequately provide the ceiling.

4. Social and Economic Justice

The essay also deals with the idea of socio economic justice.

Moyn suggests that genuine justice requires more than formal recognition of human rights. It also requires attention to people's actual economic conditions.

If the rich possess enormous resources while the poor struggle to meet basic needs, formal equality alone cannot completely solve the problem.

Therefore, achieving socio economic justice requires stronger action against economic inequality.

5. Redistribution of Wealth

Another important theme is redistribution.

Moyn connects genuine socio economic justice with the redistribution of resources from the rich toward the poor.

This is important because inequality concerns the actual distribution of wealth and resources, not simply the legal recognition of rights.

The essay therefore points toward the need for new forms of legal activism and possibly a new movement capable of addressing economic inequality directly.

6. Equality and Its Limitations

The essay encourages readers to distinguish between formal equality and material or economic equality.

People may have equal legal rights while having very unequal economic conditions.

For example, two people may both possess the same formal rights, but one may have enormous wealth and opportunities while the other may live in poverty.

Therefore, legal equality does not automatically result in economic equality.

7. Welfare State

The welfare state is an important part of the historical background of the essay.

After the Second World War, states took greater responsibility for the social and economic welfare of their citizens.

This period represented an effort to provide broader protection against economic insecurity. However, from around the 1970s, the welfare state began to retreat while inequality increased.

This historical change helps explain the problem Moyn examines.

8. Rights on Paper and Rights in Practice

The essay also connects with the difference between having rights formally and actually being able to enjoy them.

A law may guarantee a particular right, but that right becomes less meaningful if institutions fail to enforce it.

The notes particularly connect this problem with issues such as corruption, nepotism, abuse of authority, poor monitoring and failure to follow laws.

Therefore, human rights require effective implementation, not merely written declarations.

Important Concepts to Remember

Floor of Human Rights

The floor represents the minimum level of protection and dignity that human rights seek to guarantee.

Ceiling of Wealth

The ceiling represents a limit on extreme wealth and economic concentration. Moyn argues that the human rights framework does not adequately establish such a ceiling.

Redistribution

Redistribution means changing the distribution of wealth and resources, particularly through transferring resources from richer sections of society toward poorer sections.

Socio Economic Justice

Socio economic justice means dealing with both social and economic inequality so that people can enjoy meaningful opportunities, dignity and welfare.

North Atlantic Consensus

This refers to the existing agreement or developing understanding concerning social and economic welfare in the North Atlantic world, into which Roosevelt's proposal represented America's relatively late entry.

Welfare State

A welfare state is a system in which the government accepts significant responsibility for the social and economic welfare of its citizens.

Important Points for Examination

Author: Samuel Moyn

Genre: Essay

Main issue: Human rights and economic inequality

Human Rights Day: 10 December

Universal Declaration of Human Rights: 1948

Franklin Roosevelt: Called for a second Bill of Rights

Important Roosevelt idea: Freedom from want

Main historical change: Retreat of the welfare state and increasing inequality from around the 1970s

Human rights provide: A basic floor of protection

Human rights do not adequately provide: A ceiling on wealth

Major economic solution discussed: Redistribution

Important historical figure in the illustrative story: Croesus

Persian king who conquered Croesus: Cyrus the Great

Historical work referred to: Herodotus's Histories

Possible response to inequality: New forms of legal activism and possibly

a new movement

Main conclusion: Human rights remain necessary but are not enough to solve extreme economic inequality

Important Short Answer Questions

Who is the author of Human Rights and the Age of Inequality?

Samuel Moyn, an American historian, is the author of the essay.

When is Human Rights Day observed?

Human Rights Day is observed on 10 December every year.

What is the main concern of the essay?

The main concern is the relationship between human rights and growing economic inequality, particularly the inability of the existing human rights framework to adequately address the increasing gap between the rich and poor.

What does Moyn mean by a floor of human rights?

The floor refers to the minimum level of protection, dignity, freedom and basic entitlements that human rights seek to guarantee to everyone.

What is meant by a ceiling in relation to wealth?

A ceiling would mean establishing a limit on the amount of wealth and

economic power that can become concentrated among a small group of people.

What happened to the welfare state from around the 1970s?

From around the 1970s, the welfare state began to retreat while economic inequality increased.

What did Roosevelt mean by a second Bill of Rights?

Roosevelt's second Bill of Rights represented a broader understanding of rights that included social and economic security, including the idea of freedom from want.

What does redistribution mean?

Redistribution means changing the distribution of wealth and resources, particularly by transferring resources from richer sections of society toward poorer sections.

Who was Croesus?

Croesus was the last king of Lydia, known for his enormous wealth. His story is used to illustrate the relationship between wealth, happiness and inequality.

Who conquered Croesus?

Cyrus the Great, the Persian king, conquered Croesus.

What does Moyn suggest is necessary for socio economic justice?

Moyn suggests that genuine socio economic justice requires redistribution from the rich to the poor, along with new forms of legal activism and possibly a new movement.

Important Long Answer Questions

What is the main argument of Human Rights and the Age of Inequality?

The essay argues that although human rights are essential for protecting human dignity, freedom, equality and basic entitlements, they are not sufficient to solve the problem of extreme economic inequality. Human rights can establish a minimum level of protection, which Moyn describes as a floor, but they do not necessarily establish a ceiling that limits the accumulation of enormous wealth by a small group.

Moyn connects the history of human rights with the development and retreat of the welfare state. After the Second World War, governments took greater responsibility for social and economic welfare. From around the 1970s, however, the welfare state retreated while inequality increased.

The continued growth of inequality shows, according to Moyn's argument, that human rights alone cannot produce socio economic justice. Addressing inequality requires additional political, legal and economic action, including redistribution from the rich toward the poor.

Thus, human rights remain necessary, but they must be supplemented by broader efforts to achieve genuine economic justice.

Explain the statement human rights provide a floor but not a ceiling.

The statement means that human rights establish a minimum standard of protection for human beings but do not necessarily establish a maximum limit on wealth or economic power.

The floor represents basic rights and entitlements such as dignity, freedom, equality, fairness and respect. Human rights can protect people from falling below this basic level.

The ceiling, on the other hand, would represent a limit on extreme economic inequality. It would address the question of how much wealth and economic power a small number of people should be allowed to possess.

Moyn argues that the human rights movement has been much more successful at establishing a floor than a ceiling. Therefore, a society may protect basic human rights while still allowing a very large gap between rich and poor.

This is why human rights alone cannot solve the problem of economic inequality.

Why does Moyn think another movement may be necessary?

Moyn considers another movement necessary because the existing human rights framework has not been sufficient to deal with the growth of economic inequality.

Political and economic power can remain concentrated in the hands of a

few. Nepotism, favoritism, political dominance and unequal economic conditions can continue even when human rights are formally recognized.

Furthermore, rights may exist on paper without being properly implemented.

A new movement could therefore focus more directly on economic inequality, redistribution, practical implementation of rights and socio economic justice.

The purpose would not necessarily be to abandon human rights. Rather, the new movement would supplement human rights and address problems that the existing framework does not adequately solve.

One Minute Revision

Human Rights and the Age of Inequality is an essay by Samuel Moyn about the relationship between human rights and economic inequality.

After the Second World War, the welfare state expanded and governments accepted greater responsibility for social and economic welfare. Franklin Roosevelt called for a second Bill of Rights, including the idea of freedom from want.

The Universal Declaration of Human Rights was adopted in 1948, and Human Rights Day is observed on 10 December.

From around the 1970s, the welfare state retreated while economic inequality increased. Moyn argues that human rights can establish a

floor, meaning minimum protection and basic entitlements, but they do not establish a ceiling on wealth.

Therefore, a society can protect basic human rights while allowing a huge gap between rich and poor.

The story of Croesus, the wealthy king of Lydia, illustrates the problem of wealth and inequality.

Moyn ultimately argues that genuine socio economic justice requires more than human rights alone. It may require redistribution from the rich to the poor, new forms of legal activism and possibly a new movement.

Main Message

Human rights are necessary, but human rights alone are not enough to solve extreme economic inequality.

(By NEBGPAcalculator.com. Visit for more additional notes.)